

This comment regards docket 04-208 and the adding of non-disclosed and non-negotiated fees to cellular phone bills. I find the practice abhorrent and ridiculous. Once I have signed a contract the company can change the advertised rate substantially simply by adding on fees with no warning or chance to decide that you no longer want the service. I am appalled that it could be legal to unilaterally change one side of the agreement while still binding the customer to paying the bills with no escape. During my time with Sprint, my bills for basic service were increasing by approximately \$1 per month until they were more than competitors. I would never have signed up for the deal I eventually wound up forced into. Using this precedent why don't car dealers simply start increasing the price on your car once you've taken it home and started paying the lease. If there is no recourse and the contract is still binding what use is the law?